09/26/05 CDT Workshop (@北京師範大学)

Ver.26_4_4_5_2

A Comparison Study of Rule Space Method and Neural Network Model for Classifying Individuals and It's Applications

Atsuhiro Hayashi(林 篤裕) (The National Center for University Entrance Examinations) (大学入試センター研究開発部) e-mail: hayashi@rd.dnc.ac.jp

Outline

- Educational Field
- Scoring Report
 - Score with guide for next learning steps
- Rule Space Method (RSM)
 - Clustering technique : Each mastering level
- Feed-Forward Neural Network Model (NNM)
- Comparison between RSM and NNM
- Science Reasoning Test (SR-Test)
 - Introduction
 - Experiment
 - Extraction of Attributes
- Conclusion and Discussion

Scoring Report

 Learning Diagnosis
 Not only numerical score, But also guide of next learning steps
 developing in USA
 Record of test :

3

Numerical Score + => More effective guide of next learning steps

"Next direction", "Signpost"
Evaluation <===> Teaching

2. Rule Space Method

- A classification procedure
- Domain from educational statistics
- Conceptual framework of Psychmetrics
- Examinees ===> Knowledge States (KS)
 - Master/Learning level of each examinee
- Basic idea : Tatsuoka(1980's)
 - same total score ≠ same learning level
- in each Item : task analysis
 - Cognitive processes
 - Knowledge (named "Attribute")

2010		Table 1			
	, ADD	ITION TEST			
	Item	S ¹	tudent Answe	r.	
		*1	*2	*3	
り	$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{3} = 1$	1	1	1	
2)	$\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{7}{12}$	<u>7</u> 12 ∐	$\frac{7}{12}$	7	
3)	$\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{6} = 1\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$ X	$1\frac{1}{2}$	1 <u>1</u> U	
4)	$4\frac{1}{5} + 2\frac{1}{3} = -6\frac{8}{15}$	6 8	- 8 15 X	$\theta \frac{6}{15} = \theta \frac{2}{5} X$	
5)	$2\frac{2}{5} + 2\frac{2}{5} = -\frac{1}{5}$	4 <u>4</u> 5	4 4 5	4 15	
6)	$1\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} = 1\frac{5}{6}$	<u>1</u> X	5 <u>6</u> 8	5 <u>1</u> X	5
	Percent Correct	66.66%	\$6.662	66.66%	

Student 1 : When denominators are different, two denominators are add to numerator.

$$1)\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2+1}{3} = \frac{3}{3} = 1$$

$$\underline{w} \quad 2)\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{4+3}{12} = \frac{7}{12}$$

$$\times \quad 3)\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{6} \neq \frac{6+3}{18} = \frac{9}{18} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\underline{w} \quad 4)4\frac{1}{5} + 2\frac{1}{3} = 6\frac{8}{15}$$

$$5)2\frac{2}{5} + 2\frac{2}{5} = 4\frac{4}{5}$$

$$\times \quad 6)1\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{7}{6} + \frac{2}{3} \neq \frac{9}{18} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Student 2 : When denominators are different, the whole part are forgotten.

6

$$(\times 4)\underline{4}\frac{1}{5} + \underline{2}\frac{1}{3} \neq \frac{3+5}{15} = \frac{8}{15}$$

Student 3 : Wrong reducing method of an improper fraction. <u>w</u> 3) $\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{6} = \frac{4}{6} + \frac{5}{6} = \frac{9}{6} = \frac{3}{2} = 1$ 3-2=1 "1 $\times 4)4\frac{1}{5} + 2\frac{1}{3} = \frac{21}{5} + \frac{7}{3} = \frac{63 + 35}{15}$ $=\frac{98}{15} \neq 8\frac{6}{15} = 8\frac{2}{5}$ 98-15=6 ...8 $\underline{W}(5)2\frac{2}{5}+2\frac{2}{5}=\frac{12}{5}+\frac{12}{5}=\frac{24}{5}$ 24-5=4 $(\times 6)1\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{7}{6} + \frac{4}{6} = \frac{11}{6} \neq 5\frac{1}{6}$ Only if "quotient = remainder" 7

2. Rule Space Method

- A Classification procedure
- Conceptual framework of Psychmetrics
- Domain from educational statistics
- Examinees ===> Knowledge States (KS)

Master level of each examine

- Basic idea : Tatsuoka(1980's)
 - same total score ≠ same learning level

8

- in each Item : task analysis
 - Cognitive processes
 - Knowledge (named "Attribute")

Rule Space Method

- Input Information
 - Incidence matrix : item-attribute matrix
 - item response pattern
- Output : Knowledge State (KS) : Cluster
 - mastered/non-mastered learning level
 - from item response patterns
- Results of examinees' performance on a test
 A reported by total secret or cooled secret
 - reported by total scores or scaled scores
 - mastered or non-mastered, next directions
- more effective for learning

Simple Example of RSM

- Subject matter
 - fraction addition problems
 - 7 items and 5 Attributes
 - 595 Cases of Item Response Patterns

Items

1)
$$2\frac{8}{6} + 3\frac{10}{6} = (2+3)\frac{8+10}{6} = 5\frac{18}{6} = 5+3=8$$

or $= (2+1)\frac{1}{3} + (3+1)\frac{2}{3} = (3+4)\frac{1+2}{3} = 7+1$
2) $2\frac{1}{2} + 4\frac{2}{4} = 2\frac{2}{4} + 4\frac{2}{4} = (2+4)\frac{2+2}{4} = 6\frac{4}{4} = 6+1=7$
3) $\frac{1}{2} + 1\frac{10}{7} = \frac{7}{14} + 1\frac{20}{14} = 1\frac{7+20}{14} = 1\frac{27}{14} = 2\frac{13}{14}$
4) $3\frac{5}{2} + 4\frac{6}{7} = 3\frac{35}{14} + 4\frac{12}{14} = (3+4)\frac{47}{14} = (7+3)\frac{5}{14} = 10\frac{5}{14}$
5) $1\frac{4}{7} + 1\frac{12}{7} = (1+1)\frac{4+12}{7} = 2\frac{16}{7} = (2+2)\frac{2}{7} = 4\frac{2}{7}$
6) $2\frac{5}{9} + 1\frac{1}{9} = (2+1)\frac{5+1}{9} = 3\frac{6}{9} = 3\frac{2}{3}$
7) $3\frac{1}{6} + 2\frac{3}{4} = 3\frac{2}{12} + 2\frac{9}{12} = (3+2)\frac{11}{12} = 5\frac{11}{12}$

11

Description of Items by Various Combinations of Attributes in Fraction Addition Problems, a(b/c)+d(e/f)

Attributes

A1 : Separate the whole part from the fraction part when $a \neq 0$ or $d \neq 0$

12

- A2:Get the <u>c</u>ommon <u>d</u>enominator(CD) when c≠f (公約数)
- A3 : Convert the fraction part before getting CD
- A4: Reduce the fraction part before getting CD (約分)
- A5 : Answer to be simplified

Incidence Matrix

			It	em	s											
Attributes	I1	I2	<u>I3 I4 I5 I6 I7</u> 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1													
A1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1									
A2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1									
A3	1	0	1	0	1	0	0									
A4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0									
A5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0									

Simple Example of RSM

- Subject matter
 - fraction addition problems
 - 7 items and 5 Attributes
 - 595 Cases of Item Response Patterns



A5 : Answer to be si

合計:428名

14



- Separate the whole part from the fraction part when $a \neq 0$ or A2 A2
 - Get the common denominator(CD) when c≠f
 - Convert the fraction part before getting CD A3
 - Reduce the fraction part before getting CD
 - Answer to be simplified -A4 A5

15

3.Feed-Forward NN Model

- Artificial NNM
 - McCullock & Pitts(1943) :
 - The model of neuron
 - Hebb(1949) :
 - Learning hypothesis :
 - Number of impulses = Learning
 - Formation of recognition and memory
- Connection type of neuron
 - Feed-Forward Type : simplex
 - Non-hierarchical Type (mutual link) : duplex
- From the statistical point of view :
 - One method of non-linear multivariate analysis or classification method
 - Parameter estimation <===> Learning







3.Feed-Forward NN Model

- Artificial NNM
 - McCullock & Pitts(1943) :
 - The model of neuron
 - Hebb(1949) :
 - Learning hypothesis :
 - Number of impulses = Learning
 - Formation of recognition and memory
- Connection type of neuron
 - Feed-Forward Type : simplex
 - Non-hierarchical Type (mutual link) : duplex
- From the statistical point of view :
 - One method of non-linear multivariate analysis or classification method
 - Parameter estimation <===> Learning



(a) 階層的ネットワーク

Feed-Forward Type



(b) 相互結合ネットワーク

Non-hierarchical Type

Feed-Forward NNM

- simple formula
- can adapt non-linear relations
- number of layers
- Iinkage functions between units
- search of optimal weights = learning
- Attractive points <== computers power
 - Simple formula but powerful expression
 - 「Learning」
- Learning algorithm
 - Back Propagation(BP) method
 - A kinds of steepest descent method
 - Avoidance of Local convergence problems

3.Feed-Forward NN Model

- Artificial NNM
 - McCullock & Pitts(1943) :
 - The model of neuron
 - Hebb(1949) :
 - Learning hypothesis :
 - Number of impulses = Learning
 - Formation of recognition and memory
- Connection type of neuron
 - Feed-Forward Type : simplex
 - Non-hierarchical Type (mutual link) : duplex
- From the statistical point of view :
 - One method of non-linear multivariate analysis or classification method
 - Parameter estimation <===> Learning

5.Comparison Study

- Subject matter
 - fraction addition problems
 - 7 items and 5 Attributes,
 - 595 Cases of Item Response Patterns
- Comparison
 - Focusing on the structure of NNM and Knowledge States in the RSM.
- Three-layers NNM <===> KS in RSM
 - input layer <=== items</p>
 - output layer <=== Attributes</p>
 - middle layer ===> KS?
- Several numerical examples



- Step 1 : construct NN
 - Item ==> <u>Middle</u> ==> Attribute
 - Number of units in middle layer : 5, <u>6</u>, 7
 - Behavior of middle layer
 - Middle layer : Same structure with Incidence matrix
- Step 2 : validity check
 # of Training Set + # of Validation Set = 595 cases
 High re-predictive structure
 - Stable
- Step 3 : KS for middle layer
 Item ==> Middle ==> Prob. of Attribute from RSM
 - Behavior of middle layer
 - found close similarities in their results
 - although they were not identical
 - can not find the clear relation





- Step 1 : construct NN
 - Item ==> <u>Middle</u> ==> Attribute
 - Number of units in middle layer : 5, <u>6</u>, 7
 - Behavior of middle layer
 - Middle layer : Same structure with Incidence matrix
- Step 2 : validity check
 # of Training Set + # of Validation Set = 595 cases
 - High re-predictive structure
 - Stable
- Step 3 : KS for middle layer Item ==> <u>Middle</u> ==> Prob. of Attribute from RSM
 - Behavior of middle layer
 - found close similarities in their results
 - although they were not identical
 - can not find the clear relation



• Step 1 : construct NN

Item ==> <u>Middle</u> ==> Attribute

- Number of units in middle layer : 5, <u>6</u>, 7
- Behavior of middle layer
- Middle layer : Same structure with Incidence matrix
- Step 2 : validity check
 # of Training Set + # of Validation Set = 595 cases
 - High re-predictive structure
 - Stable
- Step 3 : KS for middle layer
 Item ==> <u>Middle</u> ==> Prob. of Attribute from RSM
 - Behavior of middle layer
 - found close similarities in their results
 - although they were not identical
 - can not find the clear relation

4. Science Reasoning Test (SR-Test)

- An entrance examination test
 - student's interpretation, analysis, evaluation, reasoning, and problem-solving skills
- A set of multiple choice questions
- Problem-solving skills from containing information <==> ordinary test
 - Do not need knowledge about it's information
 - Pick out some from containing information
- Providing style of scientific information



ACTの試験

 AAP: <u>ACT Assessment Program</u>
 非営利法人 ACT, Inc. が提供 (American College Testing, Inc.)
 教科カリキュラムに基づくテスト

- 英語 : 70問(45分)
- ■数学:60問(60分)
- 読解: 40問(35分) (reading comprehension)

Science Reasoning Test: 40問(35分)

• 多肢選択型設問

試験問題の特徴

 自然科学分野の論理思考に関する能力 受験者の問題解決特性を把握する試験 自然科学に必要な判断能力、分析能力、 評価能力、論理性、問題解決能力を測る • 個々の Passage (大問) ■科学的な情報を提示する資料部分 それに続く幾つかの多肢選択式の設問群

Scientific information

- Data representation
 - Graphic, tabular material
 - graph reading, interpretation of scatter plots, and interpretation of information

Research summaries

- one or more related experiments
- interpretation of experimental results

Conflicting viewpoints

- several hypotheses or views
- being based on differing premises or on incomplete data, are inconsistent with one another
- understanding, analysis, and comparison of alternative viewpoints or hypotheses.

Passage 1

Data representation

All atoms of a given element have the same number of protons (positively charged particles) in the nucleus and electrons (negatively charged particles) in the surrounding space. This number is called the atomic number, symbolized by Z. The mass of an atom is the m number, symbolized by A. The mass number is found by adding the number of protons and neutrons (neutral, uncharged, particles) in the nucleus.



Isotopes are atoms of the same element having the same atomic number but different mass numbers. The stable isotopes of some common elements and their abundance are shown in the following table.

j	Hydrogen M	99.9 %	0.1 %		<u>+</u> 		• · · · •						}	<u> </u>				ļ		
. 2	Kelium He	1			100 %				▶ [- 元 - 一 - 一	系 	司 別 	衣: 	a p	beri		с ta 	bie 	
3	Լ։ Լ։					 7.5 50	92.5 %					<u> </u>					 - -			
4	Beryllium Be							100 %					<u>+</u>							
5	Boron B			-					20 %	80 %				 	[- . ; ;	<u>.</u>				
ħ,	Carbon C										99 %] %	ļ	<u> </u> 						
7	Nitrogen N						:					+ -	99.6	0.4						

atomic number (Z)

Passage 2

A scientist wanted to determine how sunshine and temperature influence the development of a tree branch.

Experiment 1

During the spring, a mature pine tree located on the south slope of a mountain was selected. One of its branches was tightly enclosed in a clear plastic bag. For a 24-hour period, air was drawn into the bag and exhausted hourly, so the carbon dioxide (CO_2) content of the air inside and outside the bag could be measured. A nearby device measured the sunlight intensity in Langleys per minute and air temperature in centigrade (°C). Temperatures ranged from a low of 2° C between 9 P.M. and 6 A.M. and rose to a maximum of 18° C between 11 A.M. and 2 P.M. It was noticed that the diameter of the branch decreased toward a minimum, which remained constant between 10 A.M. and 4 P.M., and increased to a maximum, which remained constant between 9 P.M. and 7 A.M. The sunlight intensity readings are shown in Figure 1 and the CO₂ exchange readings in Figure 2.

(Note: Positive CO₂ readings indicate emission; negative readings indicate absorption.)



Experiment 2

Research summaries

Experiment I was repeated on the same tree branch, except the readings were taken during a 24-hour period during autumn. The temperature ranged from a low of -1° C between 6 P.M. and 6 A.M., to a high of 17° C between 9 A.M. and 2 P.M. The diameter of the tree branch decreased to a minimum value, which remained constant between I P.M. and 5 P.M., then increased to a maximum, which remained constant between 10 P.M. and 8 A.M. The sunlight intensity readings are shown in Figure 3 and the CO₂ readings in Figure 4.





Passage 4

Gravitation is the attractive force that all masses exert on other masses. It increases as the masses of the attracting objects increase. However, when large stars explode or undergo rapid changes in motion, gravitational radiation is emitted. Gravitational radiation moves away from its source at the speed of light $(3 \times 10^5 \text{ km/sec})$ as ripples or waves traveling through the otherwise smooth gravitational field of space. This is similar in concept to the way water waves travel along the otherwise smooth liquid surface.

However, gravitational waves are special because as they pass, they cause matter to distort as shown below.





undistorted ring of matter

distorted ring of matter

Since gravitational waves are extremely weak and therefore hard to detect, two physicists discuss alternative methods of detecting them.

Physicist I

Gravitational mours and by determined with the

Physicist 2

Conflicting viewpoints

Since the energy in traveling gravitational waves is so low, a very long antenna is needed to detect them. Lasers will be used to detect the changes in distance between locations in an L-shaped antenna, as shown below. Detection of gravitational waves will be possible because as they pass through the antenna, the lengths of the tunnels will change by different amounts.



Because this antenna is not a vibrating cylinder, it will be 1,000 times more sensitive than Physicist 1's antennas. In addition, like water waves, different gravitational waves have different wavelengths. Physicist 1's vibrating cylinder antennas can only detect gravitational waves that have a few specific wavelengths. The antenna will be able to detect gravitational waves with a wide range of wavelengths.

- D1. According to Physicist 2, Physicist 1's antenna is ineffective because it is:
 - F. not properly shielded from Earth vibrations.
 - G. not sensitive enough.

38

5. Numerical Example

- 7 Passages (Total 40 Items)
- 286 first-year students of Univ.
- 45 minutes
- Distribution Of Correct Answers

• Almost Symmetrical Distribution



An example of Incidence Matrix

- Task Analysis :
 - Extraction of Attribute, refining
 - Domain Expert of these subject, teacher
- Reducing : Number of Attributes : $80 \rightarrow 39$

			I				1w	و ه					1							144	- 1 1 10					l.	~ 5 8.					l	e na				
		1 12	τ.		× .		. 12	1					e	. Y	- ne		-			- 13	1 1		· -			e P		- 01	F 2	-		-e [54 Z		· ~		<u>~</u>
_	ter er e		', "	42 A	ю , н	4 . AS	יין. י	12 82	с — Ва	, -	+ <u>,</u> Б	<u>, в</u>	°	· …	122	70X	~~. L	/a	~~ U	'F	' =	2 <u>,</u> E	°., =	+ ⊑	э <u>,</u> Е	°Γ	· · F	· 2	F0	F42 I	- 2	۳°[31 1	32 V	10 V	34X \	30
Passage	Attribute	31.H	1	2	3	4	<u> </u>	8	7	8	3	10	11	12	13	14	15	18	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	28	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	- 35
	規模	83	8	4	4	1	3	0	1	1	2	2	2	3	2	0	2	3	3	4	- 5	1	2	3	3	2	1	1	1	0	2	3	2	1	4	0	3
原子	202:原子の貫量:陽子数と中性子数の和	3	1		1		1																														
原子	203:同位物の供旨:旨旨が異なる	2	1		1																																
同子	X14:同子本导动物谱 Konstarka	1	-		1																																
(***********************************	2004、第21日本1910年10月10日の10月10日	10	1	1	÷	1	-			1	1	1	- 1								1												1				1
	(, AUG , 2017) (100 AF 10, 13, A12) 2017 (人	14					<u>۱</u>						- 'I																								
		1.1																																			
原子、風刀	XU8: ELECTOMPENSION	3	1											1			1																				
原千	209:仮説の成立を確認する。Case Reasoning(PSAT-	2	1	1																																	
原子, 半減期	X10:文章の論理的な関係を理解する	4		1																	1				1	1											
原子	X11: 矛盾(否定、negation)を理解する	1		1																																	
原子	2.12: 中供子の供旨を理解	1					1																														
同子	x12:2回の運爆機能(stack or How Helpstere)を行う						1																														
	Y 14:BB最文化 12L Yime Late) 備田 本国 男 古 ス						-il-																														
	スパキ、ペイヤ こうしょう かいかいたん 御子 かいかい						1																														
	える: もし家士會寺がりならは、「親士が」でし これ、ましまで家里がは一番号にはなれたがした男子が4	1.1																																			
屋土	ス18: もし原千香寺が1で貫重数が2ならば、甲任千が1	!					1																														
原千	217:もし表になければ不安定な物質(放射性同位体)	1					1																														
原子	X18: 掃酌推論()nductive thinking)	1					1																														
光合成	X19:CO2の生成理由、光合成	1										1																									
光合 虚	221: 緑の南径	1											1																								
光会成 ■力	X24:Backsmound Knowlacka(光合成、■力、単減期)	a							1				1							1						1											
····································	Z X2A · P-Then Resonance	۱.ŏ							•		1															· 'I									1		
	219. 日本本書除						+				<u> </u>		-+	-						-						\rightarrow									<u> </u>		
	시2011년 2011년 1월 4일 2012년 월 19년 월 4일 월 2일 - 월 1일 - 월 1	1.1																		- 11																	
- 22	AZ7: ■川脱泉の深図 11頁 12月 276 の深図	୍																		- Y																	
17	228:アンテナの構造・住員を理解する	<u> </u>												1	1		1	1	1																		
<u>_</u> ,	X31: 廣播權論(deductive thinking)	3																1	1	1																	
半減期	X38 : Sequential Reasoning	1																			1																
半減期	239 : 木に対する年代測定	2																					1	1													
半減期	240:岩石に対する年代潮宮	1																						1													
三日 二日	∓Xd1 · Novial & anniv 万美乙	اد ا																			1														1		
부분에 비난 것 것	いいか 動 長 公教本主の修知者	اه ا																			÷	1	1	1	1				1						•		
		~											-																								
+ <u>35-91</u>	A44, Eschedor, Approximation						+						-+													\rightarrow											
692	ス47:ビタミンCがヨウ素と反応する(素色)	2																									1					- !					
ビタシ	248: 未分なヨフ素がデンブンと反応す な言語)	2																										1				וי					
ピタシノ	- X50: Unit を決める。Standardize. Be able to understar	1																													1						
ピタシノ	X54 : Cause-effect Reasoning(deductive thinking) or X	1																														1					
振り子	X58: NEAP 22: Is it necessary to use info, in complete	1																																			1
振り子	X39:L と Period の増減の関係を限度する、つまりモキ	1																															1				
	、200・比例・反比例が開発を開発する	l ál -																													1		•	1	1		
86.9 Th C 3 3	C VICE THE CONTRACT AND A DRIVE AND A D	1																																			

and a	8,80,94,074,074,074,074,074,074,074,074,074,07			IJ	原子					33. Y L		光合反	λ			10			重力
				1	A1	A2	Æ	٩3	A4	A5	5	B1x	В2	ВЗ	3 В	4 В	5 B	6	D1 D
	Passage	Attribute	蛚	度	1		2	3		4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12
d.			8	3	6		4	4		1	9	0		1	1	2	2	2	3
	原子	X02:原子の質量:陽子数と中性子数の和		3	1			1			1								
	原子	X03: 同位体の性質: 質量が異なる		2	1			1											
	原子 … ^ 主	X04:原子番号の知識、Knowledge	.	1				1											
	振り子,光合成,	X06●表を読む, NE18,19, A12	1	2	1		1	1		1	1				1	1	1	1	
	原子 デー	X0/: (Assumptionの)構成比率の解釈		1	1														
	原子、 里刀 医フ	X08:「C教父comparison) X09(伝説の武士本語語サイトの「日本」(日本	J	3	1														1
	原于 医乙 光谱 蛔	XU9:1仮記UD版立を確認する。Case Reasoning(P >>>>=================================	1	2	1		1												
	原于, 十 <u>成</u> 期 医乙	入して文卓の調理がは別など理解する		4			1												
	凉于 「」	X11:才順Y音定、negation/で理解する X40、中性子の性質な理解		1			1												
	凉丁 盾乙	N12:中住古VJ1注東で理解 V12:2回の 海縄堆設daduation thisking)な(手)		ł١.							ᆡ								
	际丁 盾乙	AT3、2回V27興澤推調Weducuve trinking/と1」ノー V4.4 、明二文わたけ、CiscaliaはWeterを解釈します。		¦۱							4								
	凉丁 盾乙	AT4:97示C4 (ない、Implicit/再報で解れ、9 つ Man は」		ł١.							ᆡ								
	凉丁 盾子			ł١.							4								
	「「」	17・5 まになければ不安定な物質(放射性層)	6	ίI.							4								
	「「「」	X18: 唱納推論(inductive thinking)	"	ίI.							4								
	<u></u> 光合成	<u></u>	-	╁┠													1		
	光合成	X10:002001100200110010000000000000000000		il.														1	
	光合成 重力	- X24:Background Knowledge(光合成 重力 半進	5	зL										1				·	
	光合成振行	X25 · If-Then Reasoning	1	2												1			
	<u></u> 重力	X26:重力の意味		1															
	重力	X27:重力放射の原因・性質・歪みの原因		5															1
	重力	X28:アンテナの構造・性質を理解する		5															1
	重力	X31●演繹推論(deductive thinking)		3															-
	 半減期	X38 : Sequential Reasoning		1															
	半減期	X39 : 木に対する年代測定		2															
	半減期	X40 : 岩石に対する年代測定		1															
	半減期、振り子	- X41:Model を apply できる		2															
	半減期ビタミン	·X42:数、量、分数の 大小が 判る		6															
K.	半減期	X44 : Estimation, Approximation		1															
	ビタミン	X47 : ビタミンCがヨウ素と反応する(無色)		2															
	ビタミン	X48:余分なヨウ素がデンブンと反応する(青色)		2															
	ビタミン	X50 : Unit を決める。Standardize. Be able to und	ł	1															
	<u>ビタミン</u>	X54 : Cause-effect Reasoning(deductive thinking	<u>_</u>	1															
	振り子	X56 : NE 22 : Is it necessary to use info. In comp		1															
	振り子	X59:Lと Period の 増減の関係を解釈する。つま	3	1															
	撮り子,ビタミン	×X60:比例 反比例の関係を解釈する		3															
	振り子	X64 : Quantitative and logical reading(A15)		1															
	振り子	X65 : Executive Control or Management(A17)	1	1															

	550M2474		1			13111	11111				4 4 4	
					原子						光合成	
					A1 -	A2	A3	- A4	A5	5	B1x B2	2 B3
	Passage	Attribute		頻度	1 1		2	3	4	5	6	78
		频	度	83	6)	4	4	1	- 9	0	1 1
C.F.	原子	X02:原子の質量:陽子数と中性子数の和		3	1			1		1		
	原子	X03:同位体の性質:質量が異なる		2	1			1				
	原子	X04:原子番号の知識、Knowledge		1				1				
	振り子 光合成	X06 •表を読む, NE18,19, A12 🔽		12	1		1	1	1	1		1
	原子	X07 : (Assumptionの)構成比率の解釈		1	1							
	原子、重力	X08:比較 comparison)		3	1							
	原子	X09 ●仮説の成立を確認する。Case Reasonin	g(P	2	1		1					
	原子,半減期	X10 見文章の論理的な関係を理解する	-	4								
	原子	X11:矛盾(否定、negation)を理解する 🔨 🔪		1			W	orkir	na v	vit	h fiau	res.
	原子	X12:中性子の性質を理解		1					.9.			,
	原子	X13:2回の演繹推論deductive thinkingを含	3	4			tat	bles	and	d C	raph	S
	原子	X14 : 明示されない(implicit)情報を解釈する		1								
	原子	X15 : もし原子番号が1ならば、陽子が1つ		1						1		
	原子	X16:もし原子番号が1で質量数が2ならば、中	ĽΗ	1			\mathbf{C}		$\sim \sim \sim$		nina	
	原子	X17:もし表になければ不安定な物質(放射性	同们	1			60	1261	Eas	50	iiiig	
	原子	X18:帰納推論(inductive thinking)		1						1		
	光合成	X19 : CO2の生成理由、 光合成		1								
	光合成	X21:枝の直径		1				aioo	Iro		tion ir	
	光合成、重力、	X24:Background Knowledge(光合成、重力、 ⁴	半洞	3			LU	yica				
	<u>光合成、 振り子</u>	X25 Plf-Then Reasoning		2			CΟ	ntor		2		
	重力	X26:重力の意味		1			30	ILCI		2		
	重力	X27:重力放射の原因・性質・歪みの原因		5								
	重力	X28 : アンテナの構造・性質を理解する		5				•				
	<u>重力</u>	_X31 .●))頁繹推論(deductive thinking) <mark><_</mark>		- 3			De	educ	tive	e tr	ninkin	g
	半減期	X38 : Sequential Reasoning		1								0
	半減期	X39:木に対する年代測定		2								
	半減期	X40:岩石に対する年代測定		1								
	半減期、振り子	-X41:Modelを apply できる		2								
	半減期ビタミン	′X42:数、量、分数の 大小が 判る		6								
	半減期	X44 : Estimation, Approximation		1								
	ビタミン	X47 : ビタミンCがヨウ素と反応する(無色)		- 2								







Rule Space Method

- SR-Test example
 - 3 Key points
 - Logical relations in sentences [6]
 - If-Then Reasoning [8]
 - Understanding about gravitation [9] : Fact
 - 2 sub-key points
 - Case reasoning [5]
 - Understanding about isotope [2] : Fact
- Validation of classification
 - Characteristics in each KS (cluster)
 - Item Response Pattern

- : Consideration
- : Consideration

- : Consideration

Another Application

- Experimental Project: 基礎総合試験 (Integrated-type examination)
 英語、数学、国語(日本語)
- 60 minutes each
- Easier than NCUEE Test
- For junior college ===> X
- For entered students in University
- In 数学 : 3 booklets : J冊子、K冊子、C冊子

1

小問1 次の計算をせよ。 1. $\{(-3)^2 + (-1)^3\} \div (-2) = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{A}$ 2. $4 \times (0.25 - 1)^2 - (-3)^3 \times (1 - 0.5)^2 =$ ウ 3. $-2 \times \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \div \left\{(-0.5)^3 - \frac{1}{5} \times \left(-\frac{5}{4}\right)^2\right\} = \frac{\bot}{4}$ 4. $\left(\frac{25}{9}a^3b^3 - 2a^2b^4\right) \div \left(-\frac{5}{3}a^2b^3\right) = \frac{1}{2}a^4 + \frac{1}{2}a^5$

小問2 次の問いに答えよ。
1. 一辺の長さが1の正方形 ABCD の対角線の長さ
$$a$$
は $a = \sqrt{9}$ である。また、2次方
程式 $8x^2 - 22x + 15 = 0$ の解を $\alpha, \beta(\alpha < \beta)$ とするとき、 $a \ge \alpha, \beta$ の大小関係は、シ
である。ただし、シ」は以下の選択肢から選択せよ。
① $a < \alpha < \beta$ ② $a = \alpha < \beta$ ③ $\alpha < \alpha < \beta$ ④ $\alpha < \beta = a$ ⑤ $\alpha < \beta < a$
2. 入、セ の中に、0から9までの整数を入れて次の計算を完成させよ。ただし、
同じカタカナは同じ数字を表すものとする。
1 入
× 4 入
入 セ 9





	22		5	5	Z	7	1	E	H	#																									1	3									-	A							
	*	A	t †	rit	ינוכ	te		甚	碑	彩	いまた しょう	4	冠.	瞈																																							
	-									- 17		1		Ĩ																						_														+	+	+	
冊子	Jf	₽-7	<u>-</u>							+	+			-	-	+	+							Кł	m -	7																Сf	₩-	7			_			+	+		
大問	1 A				1 E	}		2			-		3			-			4					1.4	ί			1 E	1	_	2						4					1							2				3
設問	1	2	З	4	1a	1Ŀ	2	1	2a2	2b2	2c	3	1	2a2	2Ŀ	3a3	3Ł	4	1a	1 b	2a	2Ŀ	3	1	2	3	4	1a	1 t	2	1a	1Ŀ	2	3	4	5	1a	1 b	2a	2Ł	З	1	2	З	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	1 2
C1	1																							1																													
C2		1	1	1																					1	1																1	1										
C2′																																																					1
C3									1																																								1		1	1	
C3′				1		1																				1	1		1																								1
C4					1														1			1						1			1						1			1					1	1		1					
C4'					1																							1																									
C5'								1																												_	_																
C6																																1									_												
P1							1	1					1																	1						_	_											_					
P2						1		_		1				1	1	1	1	1			1		1				1	1								_	_		1		1			1		1		1		1			1
P3								-						_						1		1			_				1							_	_	1		1													1
P4				1			1							_											_	1	1		1	1						_	_												1		1	1	1 1
P5							1							_					1			1			_		1		1	1						1	1			1	_				1		1	1	_				_
P5'											1	_													_											_	-												_				
P6						1						_						_							_							1												1					_				
P7										_		_						_	1						_						1						1							1	1			_					
P9							1	-		_	1	_	1					_				1			_					1	1	1				_	-			1						1		1			1	1	
P10								-		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_							_								1	1		_	-											_	_	_	_		_
P91					_		_			_	_	1		_	_	_	_	_											_			_	1	1	1	1					_									_	_	\rightarrow	
S17		1	1					-		_		_		_	_		_	_							1											_	-					1						_		_	_		_
S2					_		1	-		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_							_	_				1		_			_	_	-				_	1						_	-	1	_		_
S2'	1	1	1				_	-		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_						1	1							_			_	_	-						1					_	-	_	_	_	_
S3						_	1	-		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_							_				_	1	1					_	-							1	1	1	1	1	-	_	_	_	_
S4					_		_	-		_		_	-	_	_	_	_	_							_				_	_		1			_	_	-				_							_	-	_		_	. —
S5					_	1	_	-		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_							_										_	_	-				_							_	-	_	1	_	1
56						_				_		_			_	_		1				,			_				_			1				_				,							_		_			_	_
S7 044					_	_				_		_	1	1	1	1	1	1				1			_				_							_	,			1							1	1	-	_		_	_
511			-		_	_			_		-			-	-	-	-		1			_						-	_		1	_			_	_	1			_	_					-	1			-	+	+	
Sum	2	3	3	3	2	4	6	2	1	1	2	1	3	2	2	2	2	3	4	1	1	5	1	2	3	3	4	3	4	б	5	5	2	2	1	2	4	1	1	5	1	3	2	4	4	4	4	6	2	2	4	3	4 3
																																																			11	54	



Rule Space Method

- Task Analysis <=== Key point
 - Extraction of Incidence matrix is a very laborious work
 - need experts' intense cooperation
 - require careful investigation
 - solution strategies for each item
- NNM may help the Task Analysis in RSM
 - complementary characteristics

6. Discussion and Conclusions

- relationship
 - the middle layer of NNM
 - the Knowledge States in the RSM
- from the results of these experiments
 - not always same behaviors
 - sometime different
 - But predicted values are close : Validity
 - complementary characteristics
 - each other

meaning of middle layer in NNM \neq KS

Step 4(future) : search new attributes

- similarities and usefulness
- supplements weaknesses existing in the RSM
- for replacing a task analysis required in making Incidence matrixes
- Assist with NNM?

Realize the better Scoring Report
Good educational environment

Some open problems

- number of units in middle layer
- initial values of weight wi and threshold θ
- Iocal convergence problems in training step
- interpretation of each parameters and each layers in non-linear relation
- relation between number of units and time of iteration in training
- improve of convergence speed
- Some related topics
 - Facet Theory
 - POSA (Partial Order Scalogram Analysis)

